## **Edmonton Composite Assessment Review Board**

Citation: West Point Mall Ltd as represented by CVG v The City of Edmonton, 2014 ECARB 01651

**Assessment Roll Number:** 9946842

Municipal Address: 9915 178 Street NW

Assessment Year: 2014

**Assessment Type:** Annual New

Between:

## West Point Mall Ltd as represented by CVG

Complainant

and

## The City of Edmonton, Assessment and Taxation Branch

Respondent

# POSTPONEMENT DECISION OF James Fleming, Presiding Officer

## <u>Issue</u>

[1] Should a postponement of the 2014 Annual New Realty Assessment hearing scheduled for November 12-14, 2014 be granted as requested by the Respondent?

#### **Legislation**

- [2] The Matters Relating to Assessment Complaints Regulation, AR 310/2009, reads:
  - 15(1) Except in exceptional circumstances as determined by an assessment review board, an assessment review board may not grant a postponement or adjournment of a hearing.
  - (2) A request for a postponement or an adjournment must be in writing and contain reasons for the postponement or adjournment, as the case may be.
  - (3) Subject to the timelines specified in section 468 of the Act, if an assessment review board grants a postponement of adjournment of a hearing, the assessment review board must schedule the date, time and location for the hearing at the time the postponement or adjournment is granted.

## Position of the Respondent

[3] The Respondent submitted a postponement request on September 9, 2014 indicating that counsel for the City was unavailable on the scheduled hearing dates.

## Position of the Complainant

[4] The Complainant agreed to the postponement request and requested that the disclosure, response and rebuttal dates be moved forward accordingly.

## **Decision**

- [5] The Board grants the postponement request.
- [6] The hearing is rescheduled to:

Date: November 19-20, 2014

Time: 9:00 a.m.

Location: Edmonton Assessment Review Board Offices Disclosure of Complainant's Evidence: October 7, 2014 Disclosure of Respondent's Evidence: November 4, 2014

Disclosure of Complainant's Rebuttal Evidence: November 12, 2014

[7] No new notice of the postponed hearing will be sent.

#### **Reasons For The Decision**

- [8] The Board finds that the Respondent's counsel not being available on scheduled hearing dates constitutes exceptional circumstances.
- [9] The Board relies on the decision *City of Edmonton v. Edmonton (Assessment Review Board)*, 2010 ABQB 634 which provides guidance on the interpretation of section 15:

The *Regulation* must therefore be interpreted in such a way that the definition of exceptional circumstance cannot be so narrow and restrictive as to prevent hearings that are fair to both litigants (at para 43).

[10] Given that the Complainant had agreed to the postponement and in the interest of fairness, the Board finds that the exceptional circumstances required under s 15 of MRAC are met. The matter is rescheduled with new disclosure dates as outlined above.

Heard commencing September 11, 2014.

Dated this 11<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2014, at the City of Edmonton, Alberta.

James Fleming, Presiding Officer

This decision may be appealed to the Court of Queen's Bench on a question of Yaw or jurisdiction, pursuant to Section 470(1) of the Municipal Government Act, RSA 2000, c M-26.